

This article was published in the Scientific Journal NovaUm.Ru №23 in 2020 in Russian.

This article has been translated into English by its author Shchemelinin K. S.

The original article on Russian can be read at:

<http://www.schemelinin.com/KS-ideology-2020.pdf>

<https://www.elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=42488267>

---

**Konstantin Sergeyeovich Shchemelinin (rus: Константин Сергеевич Щемелинин)**

**ORCID:0000-0002-3636-1583**

**COMPARISON OF STATE IDEOLOGIES OF MODERN RUSSIA, UKRAINE,  
USA AND EU**

**Annotation.** The article describes the state ideologies of Russia (modern and future), modern Ukraine, the past and modern USA, as well as the modern European Union in the form of short ideological formulas. It is shown on what ideological unity is based, and on what ideological differences between these four current state formations. The ideological reasons of the Ukrainian-Russian confrontation, as well as tensions in Russian-American and Russian-European relations, are revealed.

**Keywords:** ideology, domination, democracy, ethnicity, sex, personal success, religiosity, human rights

**Ideological formula.** The ideological formula is the prototype of the national idea of the people, in addition, the ideological formula is the basis for formulating the state motto of the country.

There can be many ideological formulas, and there can be only one national idea chosen from all of them.

There can be many ideological formulas, but the state motto generated by them can be only one.

Any country always has an ideological formula by which it lives, even if this ideological formula is not written down and fixed.

In fact, **the ideological formula is a shortened version of the state ideology.**

A viable ideological formula must satisfy four principles [2, p. 191-194]:

1. Stimulate people to create.
2. Stimulate people to get richer.
3. Do not contain mistakes (do not be deceitful).
4. To stimulate the growth of the level of patriotism.

**Ideological formula in modern Russia.** The Russian Federation has no ideology (article 13, clause 2 of the Constitution of the Russian Federation “No ideology can be established as state or mandatory” [1, p. 14]), however, in reality, Russia has a state ideology. The ideological formula of modern Russia, formed after the collapse of the USSR, is as follows: "not Europe and not Asia, social state, Orthodoxy, religious tolerance, democracy, a great power." This ideological formula for Russia is incomplete, since it does not stimulate people to create and does not stimulate them to become richer. Also, this ideological formula is internally contradictory, since the "social state" focuses on people, and the "great power" focuses on the power of the state.

**Russia between the present and the future.** The USSR was a state with a socialist ideology. In 1991, the Soviet Union split into separate states. From the point of view of the law on the consequences of the disaster, the situation for Russia looks like this:

The present is socialism in the form of the USSR and the RSFSR.

The past is Tsarist Russia and the Civil War of 1917-1921.

Catastrophe - the collapse of the USSR.

The future is what citizens destroyed the Soviet Union for, namely: the USSR fell so that the former Soviet people could "live freely as in the West": express their opinions, move around the world, hold democratic elections, earn money in a market economy and much more...

In accordance with the law on the consequences of a catastrophe (which is formulated as follows: "a catastrophe first calls to life to the past, and then opens the way to the future" [3]), the state of modern Russia can be characterized as intermediate between the present and the future, therefore the ideological formula according to which there is modern Russia "is not Europe and not Asia, a social state, Orthodoxy, religious tolerance, democracy, a great power", is not only incomplete, but also temporary.

A modern state with its capital in Moscow can be called Russia or the Russian Federation, and these two names are equivalent (article 1, paragraph 2 of the Constitution of the Russian Federation [1, p. 11]), but they carry a different ideological meaning: Russia is a continuation of the Orthodox Russian empire, and the Russian Federation is a continuation of the Russian Socialist Federative Soviet Republic. This duality is reflected in the ideological formula of modern Russia in the concepts of "Orthodoxy" and "social state", and the latter concept is written in the Constitution (Article 7, paragraph 1 of the Constitution [1, p. 13]).

***Ideological formula of the future Russia.*** The ideological formula of the future Russia may be as follows: "Orthodoxy, toleration, democracy, personal success", "Orthodoxy, democracy, make yourself", "Enterprise, Orthodoxy, success", "Enterprise, democracy, Orthodoxy", "Great Russia, toleration, democracy, enterprise and so on" [2, p. 194-195]. At the same time, one of the most promising options for the national idea of the Russian people looks like this: "Orthodoxy, religious tolerance, democracy, personal success" [5].

Under personal success in any ideological formulas it should be understood that the acquisition of wealth, fame and power due to one's own personal qualities and luck is approved in society.

***Ideological formula for Ukraine.*** Estimated, the ideological formula of modern Ukraine is as follows: "independence, striving in Europe, united Ukraine, freedom, democracy."

As a result of combining the ideas of "independence" and "striving in Europe", it turns out that Ukraine's dependence on Europe and the United States is a good thing, and dependence on Russia is evil.

"Striving in Europe" includes, among other things, striving in NATO, which makes Ukraine a threat to security for Russia.

"United Ukraine" justifies, in particular, the unitary structure, the refusal of federalization, the practice of forced Ukrainization, the steady expansion of the use of the Ukrainian language, the refusal to recognize Russian as the second state language.

This ideological formula does not stimulate Ukrainian citizens to create, does not stimulate them to become richer, undermines patriotic sentiments among Ukrainian citizens loyal to Russia, being the basis for an internal conflict, and is internally contradictory ("independence" is contrary to "aspiration in the EU"). In general, this ideological formula in Ukraine is also temporary, as in Russia, because Ukraine, like the Russian Federation, in accordance with the law on the consequences of the disaster, is now between the present and the future:

The present is socialism in the form of the Ukrainian SSR.

The past is Tsarist Russia and the Civil War of 1917-1921.

Catastrophe - the collapse of the USSR.

The future is what the citizens of Ukraine destroyed the Soviet Union for. This future has not yet come for the citizens of Ukraine.

It should be noted that Ukraine went further than Russia from its socialist present, carrying out a complete decommunization in 2014-2016, while Russia carried out a partial decommunization in the early 1990s (in particular, Leningrad was renamed to St. Petersburg, Kuibyshev to Samara and so on).

***Ideological formula for the past USA.*** The United States and the countries of the European Union entered the phase of obscurity [8, p. 328] at the end of the 20th century, in particular: USA - in 1973 [8, p. 329]; Germany, Italy, France - in 1957, Great Britain - in 1973 [8, p. 330], Spain and Portugal - in 1986 [8, p. 331] and so on. Prior to that, all countries of the Western European peoples were in inertial phases [8, p. 328] of their ethnic evolutions [4].

*Explanation 1.* List of countries of Western European nations by date of entry into obscurity, from 1957 to 2004: Belgium, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, France, South Africa, USA, Great Britain, Denmark, Ireland, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Spain, Portugal, Brazil, Austria, Sweden, Hungary, Malta, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia and the Czech Republic [8, p. 327].

For the purposes of this work, the search for past ideologies for all countries of Western European peoples is not necessary, therefore they will not be determined; however, as an example, an approximate ideological formula for the United States will be given, which was inherent in this country from the moment the country gained independence in 1776 until the beginning of the obscurity phase in 1973: "domination, Protestantism, freedom, democracy, personal success."

"Dominance" - first it was the process of developing the lands of the Wild West, then - the process of subjugating the states of North America, then - the process of taking control of the countries of South America, after which - the process of beginning and deepening dominance over the world in the late 20th - early 21st century. The idea of domination prevents the United States from listening to the opinions of others, and the terms of agreements (and often, military-political alliances) concluded by the Americans, due to the idea of domination, turn out to be such that the weaker side would never voluntarily agree to.

*Explanation 2.* The above-mentioned concepts of ethnic evolution, obscurity and inertial phase, as well as the break discussed below, refer to Lev Gumilyov's passionary theory of ethnogenesis, which is currently not generally accepted. The reason for this is this: Gumilev described only the past, until the beginning of the 19th century, so his theory did not satisfy Popper's falsifiability criterion, which is why the passionary theory could not be considered scientific. In 2019, on the basis of the passionary theory, I formulated an ethnic forecast for the 21-33 (34) centuries [8, p. 350-352], so from now on the passionary theory satisfies Popper's falsifiability criterion, which is why it should be considered scientific.

***An ideological formula for the modern USA.*** In modern America, people who were born and formed in the inertial phase of the ethnic evolution of Americans are still alive and active - these people were born before  $1973 - 18 = 1955$ , and they are already over  $2020 - 1955 = 65$  years old. This part of the American population continues to influence their younger relatives and familiar, to act in politics and economics, which is why the current United States has a certain duality that will pass in the next couple of decades.

Without taking into account this disappearing duality, an approximate ideological formula for the modern United States looks like this: "domination, human rights, democracy, personal success, sex, ethnicity and human religiosity are not important."

***Ideological formula for modern Europe.*** Germany, France and some other EU countries entered the phase of obscurity in 1957, that is, 16 years earlier than the United States, that is, considering the current Germany and France, one can assume that the United States will be the same in about 16 years. At the same time, Hungary, Poland, Czech Republic and other countries entered the phase of obscurity in 2004 [8, p. 331], therefore, it is safe to assume that they will become approximately the same as Germany and France around  $2004+(2020-1957) = 2067$  and approximately the same as the USA, around  $2004+(2020-1973) = 2051$  year.

For each country of the West European people the ideological formula will be different, but something common European will be present in them in the following form: "human rights, democracy, personal success, sex, ethnicity and religiosity of a person are not important."

*Sex.* Sex in the context of an ideological formula should not be understood as sex life itself, hidden from prying eyes, but news in the media with a sexual context, erotic and pornographic photographs and films, discussion of intimate human issues in blogs and personal communication, and so on.

If we compare the world before and after World War II, then it is clear that:

- 1) Before World War II, the level of attention and open discussion of sex in the world was roughly constant for centuries.
- 2) After the Second World War, the level of sex in the world began to gradually increase, and the countries of the Western European peoples were the main points of this growth.
- 3) Currently, the level of sex in the countries of Western European nations is extremely high, and due to the dominance of the USA and the EU in the world, the level of sex has increased in Buddhist, Muslim, Orthodox and other countries on Earth.

*World, USA and EU.* The ideological formulas of the USA and the EU are similar - they differ only in their commitment to dominance in the USA.

The dominance in the world, which the US currently possesses, allows this country, with the help of the EU, to try to impose its ideological formulas on other countries of the world; Moreover, it should be noted that this process is quite successful - this is due to the attractiveness for countries and individuals of many provisions of the ideological formulas of the US and the EU:

1. "Human rights" - it is better to have rights than to be rightless.
2. "Democracy" - in the conditions of modern scientific and technological progress, democracy brings money to people and states, being a weapon of victory over authoritarian systems in economic competition in the world market (the law on the economic advantage of democracy over authoritarianism during the scientific and technological revolution: "during scientific and technological revolution, democratic systems of power have an economic advantage over all types of authoritarian systems of power ") [7].
3. "Personal success" - it is better to be rich than poor.
4. "Sex" - everything that is connected with this area attracts both by its importance for procreation and due to unhealthy interest.
5. "Ethnicity and religiosity of a person are not important" - the widespread use of air transport in the world, the openness of borders and the massive use of the Internet make it quite easy to familiarize people with all the diversity of cultures in the world (and the increase in

the quality of online translation is gradually blurring the interlanguage boundaries!), And enables representatives of different ethnic groups to enter into relationships with each other: get acquainted, communicate, argue, do business and so on.

**Russia, USA and EU.** The ideological formulas of Russia, on the one hand (both in the current Russia and in the Russia of the future), as well as the ideological formulas of the US and the EU, on the other hand, are completely different, which is the basis for constant confrontation.

"Democracy" is inherent in both the ideological formulas of the US and the EU, and the ideological formula for the present and future Russia, which is a powerful unifying factor.

"Personal success" is common both for the ideological formulas of the US and the EU, and for the ideological formula of the future Russia.

**Ukraine, USA and EU.** Ideological formulas of Ukraine, on the one hand, and the US and the EU, on the other hand, are different, but Ukraine's aspiration in the EU makes them potentially similar in the future.

**Russia and Ukraine.** The ideological formulas of Russia and Ukraine are different, and the state ideology of Ukraine poses a threat to Russia, which has already resulted in the events of 2014 (the reunification of Crimea with Russia, the outbreak of war in the southeast of Ukraine and the introduction of sanctions against each other).

The problem for the development of Russia and Ukraine is the triplicity: both of these countries are between the present and the future, although they live with elements of the past. Ukraine has less of this triplicity than Russia, since Ukraine has carried out a complete decommunization, while Russia has not.

An example of the triplicity of Russia and Ukraine is the elections:

The past (Russian Empire) - when the President is elected, in fact, people elect for themselves a kind of "good tsar" who will solve all their problems; connected with this is the extraordinary attention to the presidency and the excessive hopes that the people pin on their leader.

Present (Soviet Union) - the elected deputies are representatives of the people - this is a socialist approach, therefore, among the people's choices you can meet actors, athletes and other famous people who are far from politics. In an ordinary bourgeois republic, a deputy is a professional politician who represents the interests of a certain group of people.

The future (a bourgeois democratic republic with local characteristics) - both Russia and Ukraine are republics, hold regular elections, and are also countries with market economies.

In addition, neither Russia nor Ukraine currently has an image of the future - fully formed, clearly outlined and acceptable to the majority of citizens.

Also common for Russia and Ukraine is the completion of the breakdown phase by the Russians and Ukrainians-Little Russians [8, p. 319-320], which is embodied in the contradictory and incomplete ideological formulas of both countries.

*Remark.* It is quite possible that one of the options for solving ideological problems for Russia could be the implementation of my political forecast for the period from 2020 to 2030, formulated in December 2019 [6]. The basis for this assumption is the following fact: one of the aspects of this forecast is the adoption of a new Constitution - this forecast has already been partially implemented in the form of amendments to the Russian Constitution being discussed and being prepared for adoption in early 2020. It also cannot be ruled out that a complete solution to Russia's ideological problems will be carried out later than the 2020s, that is, beyond my forecast for 2020-2030.

**Conclusion.** Approximate ideological formulas, which are summaries of state ideologies, are as follows:

Modern Ukraine - independence, aspiration to Europe, united Ukraine, freedom, democracy.

Modern Russia is not Europe or Asia, a social state, Orthodoxy, religious tolerance, democracy, a great power.

Future Russia - Orthodoxy, religious tolerance, democracy, personal success.

Past USA - Dominance, Protestantism, Freedom, Democracy, Personal Success.

Modern USA - domination, human rights, democracy, personal success, sex, ethnicity and religiosity of a person are not important.

Modern EU - human rights, democracy, personal success, sex, ethnicity and religiosity of a person are not important.

**References:**

1. The Constitution of the Russian Federation: To the 25th anniversary of the adoption of the Basic Law: Text. Comments. Alphabetical subject index. - Moscow: Statut, 2018.

2. Shchemelinin K. S. Money. Simferopol: IT "Arial", 2014.

3. Shchemelinin K. S. Law on the consequences of the catastrophe // Alley of Science. 2019. No. 3 (30). Volume 1. P. 175-180.

4. Shchemelinin K. S. The Law of Passionarity and the Law of Conquest of Ethnos // Alley of Science. 2019. № 3 (30). Volume 1. P. 186-188.

5. Shchemelinin K. S. National idea for the Russian people, as well as the motto and name of the Russian state // Alley of Science. 2018. № 1 (17). Volume 2. P. 328-351.

6. Shchemelinin K. S. Political forecast for Russia from 2020 to 2030 // Scientific journal NovaUm.Ru. 2019. № 22. P. 224-227.

7. Shchemelinin K. S. Teaching about changes and democracy // Alley of Science. 2019. № 10 (26). Volume 6. P. 285-288.

8. Shchemelinin K. S. Ethnic potentials of Russians and other peoples of the world at the beginning of the XXI century // Alley of Science. 2019. № 7 (34).